



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,521	12/09/2003	Sudhir V. Shah	2629.1003-010	3717

21005 7590 12/22/2004

HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.
530 VIRGINIA ROAD
P.O. BOX 9133
CONCORD, MA 01742-9133

EXAMINER

WINSTON, RANDALL O

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1654

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/731,521

Applicant(s)

SHAH, SUDHIR V.

Examiner

Randall Winston

Art Unit

1654

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Randall Winston and Susan Coe.

(3) N. Scott Pierce.

(2) Mary K. Murray.

(4) Sudhir V. Shah.

Date of Interview: 14 December 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all, in general.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner has agreed to make the next office action of applicant's 10/731, 521 a non-final rejection for the following reasons: The Green Reference ('482) does not anticipate the claimed invention. Also, Primary Examiner Coe and Examiner Winston will consider applicant's representative argument which applicant representative has stated that the Green rejection does not anticipate the claimed invention because a patient being treated by dialysis is not undergoing treatment of a progressive kidney disease. A patient on renal dialysis is at end stages of kidney disease, thus, treating a patient on renal dialysis with an iron chelator, is not treatment of a human with a progressive kidney disease. Discussed other reference of record in novelty and obviousness rejection. Discussed 112, second paragraph rejection. Also, Applicant's representatives will provide examiner with a list of iron chelators to help with an additional search by examiner.